United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	V.) ~ ~ ~ 5:45 M I 4000 DNI	
	MARLON CARANZA-DERA) Case No. 5:15-MJ-1980-RN	
	Defendant)	
	DETENTION ORDE	R PENDING TRIAL	
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail hat the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
	Part I—Find	lings of Fact	
□ (1) T	The defendant is charged with an offense described i	n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted	
(of \square a federal offense \square a state or local offense	se that would have been a federal offense if federal	
	jurisdiction had existed - that is		
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § for which the prison term is 10 years or more	3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)	
	\Box an offense for which the maximum sentence	s death or life imprisonment.	
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had be described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses or comparable state or local offenses:	
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but	involves:	
	☐ a minor victim		
	\Box the possession or use of a firearm or dest	ructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	50	
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.		
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since	the \square date of conviction \square the defendant's release	
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).	
□ (4)		presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety and that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
	Alternative	Findings (A)	
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense		
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten year		
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	•	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption of the defendant's appearance and the safety of the	established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure community.
	Alternative	e Findings (B)
1 (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will no	ot appear.
Y (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will en	ndanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II— Statement of I find that the testimony and information submitted	the Reasons for Detention I at the detention hearing establishes by clear and
convinc	•	
В	-	tion hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that can sappearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.
	or the reasons indicated below there is no condition, or consure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of anothed. The nature of the charges The apparent strength of the government's case	ombination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably er person or the community. The lack of stable employment The lack of a suitable custodian
L T	The indication of substance abuse	The fact that the charges arose while on state probation
Ī	The defendant's criminal history	The history of probation revocations
Ī	Other:	
_		s Regarding Detention
pending order of	The defendant is committed to the custody of the A rections facility separate, to the extent practicable, a gappeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonal	ttorney General or a designated representative for confinement from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody able opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility
Date: S	September 30, 2015	Robert T Numbers II. Judge's signature
		Dobart T. Numbers, H. United States Magistrate Judge

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge

Printed name and title